

Stringy Symmetries and Their High-energy Limit

Jen-Chi Lee*

Department of Electrophysics, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

Abstract

The high-energy limit of stringy symmetries, derived from the decoupling of two types of zero-norm states in the old covariant first quantized (OCFQ) spectrum of open bosonic string, are used to reproduce Gross's linear relations among high-energy scattering amplitudes of different string states with the same momenta. Moreover, the proportionality constants between scattering amplitudes of different string states are calculated for the first few low-lying levels. These proportionality constants are, as suggested by Gross from the saddle point calculation of high-energy string-loop amplitudes, independent of the scattering angle ϕ_{CM} and the order χ of string perturbation theory. The decoupling of degenerate positive-norm states, which is valid to *all* energy, can also be derived from these stringy symmetries. The high-energy limit of this decoupling is found to be consistent with the work of Gross and Manes.

*Electronic address: jcclee@cc.nctu.edu.tw

In the traditional formulation of a local quantum field theory, a symmetry principle was postulated, which can be used to determine the interaction of the theory, e. g., Yang-Mills theories and general relativity. The idea of “symmetry dictates interaction” has thus become one of the fundamental philosophy to pursue new physics such as GUTs and supergravities for the last few decades. One of the most important consequences of these symmetries is the resulting softer ultraviolet structure of field theories which, in some cases, makes them consistent or renormalizable quantum field theories when incorporating with quantum mechanics. In these cases, the Ward identities, the direct consequence of symmetry on the n -point Green functions of the theory, are intensively used to remove the unwanted loop divergences in perturbation theory. In contrast to the local quantum field theory, string theory is very different in this respect. In string theory, on the contrary, it is the interaction, prescribed by the very tight quantum consistency conditions due to the extendedness of string rather than point particle, which determines the form of the symmetry. In fact, once we settle on the quantum theory of a free string, the forms of the interactions and thus symmetries of all string states are fixed by the quantum consistency of the theory. For example, the massless gauge symmetries of 10D Heterotic string[1] were determined to be $SO(32)$ or E_8^2 by the string one-loop consistency or modular invariance of the theory. Some stringy Einstein-Yang-Mills type symmetries with symmetry parameters containing both Einstein and Yang-Mills index were proposed in Ref[2]. Being a consistent quantum theory with no free parameter and an infinite number of states, it is conceivable that there exists huge symmetry group or Ward identities, which are responsible for the ultraviolet finiteness of string theory. To uncover the structure of this huge hidden symmetry group has become one of the most challenging problem ever since the discovery of string theory.

In 1988 Gross[3] made an important progress on this subject. With the calculation of high-energy limit of closed string scattering amplitudes for an arbitrary string-loop order G through the use of a semi-classical, saddle point technique developed by Gross and Mende[4], he was able to derive an infinite number of linear relations among high-energy scattering amplitudes of different string states with the same momenta. These relations were shown to be valid order by order and were of the *identical form* in string perturbation theory. As a result, the high-energy scattering amplitudes of all string states can be expressed in terms of, say, the dilaton scattering amplitudes. A similar result was obtained for the open string by Gross and Manes[5]. However, the physical origin of these symmetries and thus

the meaning of proportionality constants between the high-energy scattering amplitudes of different string states were unknown to those authors, and their values were not calculated.

In this letter, we propose an infinite number of stringy Ward identities derived from the decoupling of two types of zero-norm states[6] in the OCFQ string spectrum. These Ward identities are valid to *all* energy α' and to all orders in string perturbation theory since zero-norm states should be decoupled from the correlation functions at each order of perturbation theory by unitarity. The simplest example is the familiar massless *on-shell* Ward identity of string QED. In this sense, the stringy Ward identities we proposed in this letter serve as a natural generalization of Ward identity in gauge field theory. As the first test of these stringy Ward identities, the high-energy limit of them are used to reproduce Gross's linear relations among high-energy scattering amplitudes of different string states with the same momenta. Moreover, the proportionality constants between scattering amplitudes of different string states are calculated for the first few low-lying levels. We find that these high-energy proportionality constants are, as suggested by Gross, independent of scattering angle ϕ_{CM} and the order χ of string perturbation theory. However, the proportionality coefficients do depend on the scattering angle ϕ_{CM} through the dependence of momentum at *low* energy. To further uncover the group theoretical structure of these stringy symmetries, it is important to explicitly calculate the complete set of zero-norm states in the spectrum. Recently, a simplified method to generate zero-norm states in OCFQ bosonic string was proposed[7]. General formulas of some zero-norm tensor states at an arbitrary mass level were given. Unfortunately, general formulas for the *complete* set of zero-norm states are still lacking mostly due to the high dimensionality of spacetime $D = 26$. However, in the toy 2D string model[8], a general formula of zero-norm states with discrete Polyakov's momenta at an arbitrary mass level was given in terms of Schur Polynomials[9]. These zero-norm states were shown to carry the spacetime ω_∞ charges. On the other hand, the complete spacetime symmetry group of toy 2D string was known to be the same ω_∞ , and the corresponding ω_∞ Ward identities were powerful enough to determine the tachyon scattering amplitudes *without* any integration. These observations in 2D and 26D string theories signal the importance of the existence of zero-norm states in the OCFQ string spectrum, not shared by other quantization schemes of string theory, e.g., light-cone quantization. The advantage of using the decoupling of zero-norm states to derive stringy Ward identities is that one can avoid the difficult calculation of string-loop amplitudes. Another one is that the resulting

Ward identities are valid to *all* energy α' , in contrast to the high-energy $\alpha' \rightarrow \infty$ result of Gross. To further illustrate this advantage, the decoupling of degenerate positive-norm states[10], which are valid to *all* energy, is also derived from these stringy Ward identities[7]. This derivation justifies the calculations of two other independent approaches based on the massive worldsheet sigma-model[10] and Witten's string field theory[11]. The high-energy limit of this decoupling is also found to be consistent with the work of Gross and Manes[5].

Let's begin with a brief review of QED Ward identity

$$k_{\mu_1} \mathcal{T}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n}(k_1 k_2 \cdots k_n) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the *off-shell* n-point Green function for n external photons of polarizations $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ and momenta $k_1, \cdots, k_n$. Eq. (1) means that the amplitude $\mathcal{T}$ vanishes if the polarization of one of the external photons is taken to be longitudinal. Note that eq. (1) holds even off-shell. This seemingly simple equation, which originated from U(1) gauge symmetry, turns out to be one of the most far-reaching property of QED. In the old covariant Gupta-Bleuler quantization of QED, the polarization vector ϵ_μ of photon is constrained by the covariant gauge condition $k \cdot \epsilon = 0$. One of the three allowed physical polarizations, the longitudinal one $\epsilon = k$, is zero-norm due to the massless condition of on-shell photon. The theory thus ends up with only two physical transverse propagating modes, and the longitudinal degree of freedom turns out to serve as the U(1) symmetry parameter of the theory. In the OCFQ spectrum of open bosonic string theory, there exists a natural stringy generalization of this zero-norm longitudinal degree of freedom. They are (we use the notation in Ref[6])

$$\text{Type I : } L_{-1} |x\rangle, \text{ where } L_1 |x\rangle = L_2 |x\rangle = 0, \quad L_0 |x\rangle = 0; \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Type II : } (L_{-2} + \frac{3}{2} L_{-1}^2) |\tilde{x}\rangle, \text{ where } L_1 |\tilde{x}\rangle = L_2 |\tilde{x}\rangle = 0, \quad (L_0 + 1) |\tilde{x}\rangle = 0. \quad (3)$$

While type I states have zero-norm at any space-time dimension, type II states have zero-norm *only* at D=26. The existence of type II zero-norm states turns out to be crucial for the discussion in the rest of this letter. The simplest zero-norm state $k \cdot \alpha_{-1} |0, k\rangle$, $k^2 = 0$ with polarization k is the massless solution of eq. (2), which reproduces the longitudinal photon discussed in eq. (1). A simple prescription to systematically solve eqs. (2) and (3) for an

infinite number of zero-norm states was given recently in Ref[7]. A more thorough understanding of the solution of these equations and their relation to space-time ω_∞ symmetry of toy D=2 string was discussed in Ref[9].

In the first quantized approach of string theory, the contribution of open orientable surfaces with Euler number χ to the four-point open string scattering amplitude is given by (for our purpose we choose four-point amplitudes in this letter)

$$\mathcal{T}_\chi(k_i) = g_c^{2-\chi} \int \frac{Dg_{\alpha\beta}}{\mathcal{N}} DX^\mu \exp(-\frac{\alpha'}{2\pi} \int d^2\xi \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X_\mu) \prod_{i=1}^4 v_i(k_i) \equiv \langle \prod_{i=1}^4 v_i(k_i) \rangle \quad (4)$$

where g_c is the closed string coupling constant, $\mathcal{N}$ is the volume of the group of diffeomorphisms and Weyl rescalings of the worldsheet metric, and $v_i(k_i)$ are the on-shell vertex operators with momenta k_i . The integral is over orientable open surfaces of Euler number χ parametrized by moduli $\vec{m}$ with punctures at ξ_i . A similar formula for the closed string can be easily written down. The string generalization of eq (1), or the stringy *on-shell* Ward identities are proposed to be

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^4 v_i(k_i) \rangle = 0 \quad (5)$$

where at least one of the 4 vertex operators corresponds to the zero-norm state solution of eq. (2) or (3). To illustrate the powerfulness of this seemingly trivial equation, the D₂ vector zero-norm state of the second massive level (spin-three) was calculated to be [12]

$$\{ (\frac{1}{2} k_\mu k_\nu \theta_\lambda + 2\eta_{\mu\nu} \theta_\lambda) \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu \alpha_{-1}^\lambda + 9k_{[\mu} \theta_{\nu]} \alpha_{-2}^{[\mu} \alpha_{-1}^{\nu]} + 12\theta_\mu \alpha_{-3}^\mu \} |0, k\rangle, \quad k \cdot \theta = 0. \quad (6)$$

Eq. (6) was obtained by antisymmetrizing those terms which involve $\alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-2}^\nu$ in the original type I and type II vector zero-norm states. If one chooses, say, $v_1(k_1)$ to be the vertex operator constructed from zero-norm state in eq. (6), the corresponding stringy Ward identity of eq. (5) reads [13]

$$(\frac{1}{2} k_\mu k_\nu \theta_\lambda + 2\eta_{\mu\nu} \theta_\lambda) \mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu\lambda)} + 9k_\mu \theta_\nu \mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{[\mu\nu]} + 12\theta_\mu \mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^\mu = 0, \quad k \cdot \theta = 0. \quad (7)$$

where $k_\mu \equiv k_{1\mu}$. We will use 1 and 2 for the incoming particles and 3 and 4 for the scattered particles. $\mathcal{T}'_{2,\chi}$ s are the second massive level, χ -th order string-loop amplitudes. For the string-tree level $\chi=1$, the three scattering amplitudes $\mathcal{T}'_{2,\chi}$ s were explicitly calculated and the Ward identity eq(7) was verified[13]. This inter-particle symmetry was first discovered[12] by massive worldsheet sigma-model approach and was recently found to be consistent with the second quantized Witten's string field theory[11] calculation. At this point, $\{\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu\lambda)}, \mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu)}, \mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^\mu\}$ is identified to be the *amplitude triplet* of the spin-three state. In fact, it can be shown that $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^\mu$ are fixed by $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu\lambda)}$ due to the stringy Ward identities constructed from the type I spin-two zero-norm state and another vector zero-norm state obtained by symmetrizing those terms which involve $\alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-2}^\nu$ in the original type I and type II vector zero-norm states. $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{[\mu\nu]}$ is obviously identified to be the scattering amplitude of the antisymmetric spin-two state with the same momenta with $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu\lambda)}$. Eq. (7) thus relates the scattering amplitudes of two different string states with different spins at the second massive level. Note that eq. (7) is valid order by order and is *automatically* of the identical form in string perturbation theory. This is consistent with Gross's argument through the calculation of high-energy scattering amplitudes. However, it is important to note that eq. (7) is, in contrast to the high-energy $\alpha' \rightarrow \infty$ result of Gross, valid to *all* energy α' and does depend on the center of mass scattering angle ϕ_{CM} , which is defined to be the angle between $\vec{k}_1$ and $-\vec{k}_3$, through the dependence of momentum k . To reproduce Gross's high-energy result and fix the proportionality constants, which were not dwelt on in Ref[3,5] due to lack of the physical origin of the proposed high-energy symmetries, one needs to calculate high-energy limit of eq. (7).

Following Gross[3] and Gross and Manes[5], high-energy, fixed angle scattering amplitudes of oriented open strings can be obtained from those of closed strings calculated by Gross and Mende by using the reflection principle. First, from eq. (4), one notes that the high-energy limit $\alpha' \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to the semi-classical limit of first-quantized string theory. In this limit, the closed string G-loop scattering amplitudes is dominated by a saddle point in the moduli space $\vec{m}$. For the oriented open string amplitudes, the saddle point configuration can be constructed from an associated configuration of the closed string via reflection principle. It was also found that the Euler number χ of the oriented open string saddle is always $\chi = 1 - G$, where G is the genus of the associated closed string saddle. Thus the integral in eq. (4) is dominated in the $\alpha' \rightarrow \infty$ limit by an associated G-loop closed string saddle

point in $X^\mu, \widehat{m_i}$ and $\widehat{\xi_i}$. The closed string classical trajectory at G-loop order was found to behave at the saddle point as[4]

$$X_{c1}^\mu(z) = \frac{i}{1+G} \sum_{i=1}^4 k_i \ln |z - a_i| + O(\frac{1}{\alpha'}), \quad (8)$$

which leads to the G-loop four-tachyon amplitude

$$\mathcal{T}_G \approx g_c^{1+G} \exp -\alpha' [\frac{1}{4(1+G)} \sum_{i<j} k_i k_j \ln |a_i - a_j|] \equiv g_c^{1+G} e^{-\alpha' E_G} \quad (9)$$

where E_G can be thought of as the electrostatic energy of two-dimensional Minkowski charges k_i placed at a_i on a Riemann surface of genus G. One can use the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariance of this saddle to fix 3 of the 4 points a_i , Then the only modulus is the cross ratio $\lambda = \frac{(a_1 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_3 - a_4)}$, which takes the value $\lambda = \widehat{\lambda} \approx -\frac{t}{s} \approx \sin^2 \frac{\phi_{CM}}{2}$ to extremize E_G if we neglect the mass of the tachyons in the high-energy limit. $S = -(k_1 + k_2)^2$, $t = -(k_1 + k_3)^2$, and $u = -(k_1 + k_4)^2$ are the Mandelstam variables. Thus, the high-energy scattering amplitudes of four open string tachyons at the χ -th order is approximated by[4]

$$\mathcal{T}_\chi \approx g_c^{2-\chi} \exp(-\alpha' \frac{s \ln s + t \ln t + u \ln u}{2(2-\chi)}), \quad (10)$$

which reproduces the very soft exponential decay $e^{-\alpha' s}$ of the well-known string-tree $\chi=1$ amplitude.

For excited string states, the exponential part of the vertex operator is the same as that of tachyon. The same saddle point eq. (8) dominates the scattering amplitude. To estimate the high-energy behavior of these scattering amplitudes, one needs to know an explicit formula for $\partial^n X$ at the saddle point. By putting charges at $a_1=0$, $a_2=1$, $a_3=\lambda = \sin^2 \frac{\phi_{CM}}{2}$, and $a_4=\infty$, and using eq. (8) with $z=0$, we get[5]

$$\partial^n X \sim \frac{i}{1+G} (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)! (k_2 + \frac{k_3}{\lambda^n}). \quad (11)$$

It is important to see that only polarizations in the plane of scattering will contribute to the amplitude at high energy. Let's define the normalized polarization vectors e_T and e_L with spatial components in the CM frame contained in the plane of scattering. They are respectively parallel (e_L) and perpendicular (e_T) to the momentum of the particle. One has,

to leading order in the energy E , [5]

$$e_T \cdot \partial^n X \sim i(-)^n \frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda^n} E \sin \phi_{CM}, n > 0; \quad (12)$$

$$e_L \cdot \partial^n X \sim i(-)^n \frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda^n} \frac{E^2 \sin^2 \phi_{CM}}{2m} \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \lambda^l, n > 1; \quad (13)$$

$$e_L \cdot \partial^n X \sim 0, n = 1, \quad (14)$$

where m is the mass of the particle.

We are now ready to take the high-energy limit of eq. (7). First, one notes that there are (D-1) equations, which relate components of amplitudes of $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu\lambda)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{[\mu\nu]}$ in eq (7) due to the (D-1) independent constants of θ_μ . Remember that $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^\mu$ is fixed by $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{(\mu\nu\lambda)}$ as has been discussed above. By taking the high-energy, fixed angle limit of Ref[3,5] and using eqs. (12)-(14), one ends up with only one nonvanishing component for each scattering amplitude. They are $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{TTT}$ for the spin-three state and $\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{LT}$ for the antisymmetric spin-two state. Taking $\theta_\mu = \theta_T$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{TT}$ in eq(7), and keeping only the leading order in E , we have

$$2\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{TTT} + \frac{9}{2}\mathcal{T}_{2,\chi}^{LT} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Note that k_μ in the second term of eq. (7) has cancelled the mass of the incident particle in eq. (13), which approaches zero in the high-energy limit. Eq. (15) gives the proportionality constant between high-energy scattering amplitudes of two different string states at the second massive level. This proportionality constant is, as suggested by Gross[3], independent of the scattering angle ϕ_{CM} and the order χ (or G) of string perturbation theory. *Most importantly, we now understand that it is originated from D_2 zero-norm state in the OCFQ spectrum of the string!*

To further illustrate this high-energy stringy phenomenon, we go to the third massive level with four different propagating states. In this level, it was discovered[7, 10, 11] that the scattering amplitude of the spin-two state[14]

$$[\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\alpha_{-2}^\mu\alpha_{-2}^\nu + \frac{3}{2}k_\lambda\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\alpha_{-1}^\lambda\alpha_{-1}^\mu\alpha_{-2}^\nu - \frac{20}{29}(k_\mu k_\nu + \frac{1}{5}\eta_{\mu\nu})\epsilon_{\rho\sigma}\alpha_{-1}^\mu\alpha_{-1}^\nu\alpha_{-1}^\rho\alpha_{-1}^\sigma] |0, k\rangle, \quad (16)$$

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\nu\mu}, k^\mu\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

can be expressed in terms of those of two higher spin states, the spin-four and the mixed-symmetric spin-three states, as

$$(\frac{1}{3}k_\lambda\epsilon_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}k_{(\lambda}\epsilon_{\mu\nu)})\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\lambda\mu\nu} + (\frac{13}{174}k_\alpha k_\beta\epsilon_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{58}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\mu\nu})\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ correspond to the amplitudes of $\alpha_{-1}^\lambda\alpha_{-1}^\mu\alpha_{-2}^\nu$ and $\alpha_{-1}^\mu\alpha_{-1}^\nu\alpha_{-1}^\alpha\alpha_{-1}^\beta$ respectively. Note that $\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{(\lambda\mu\nu)}$ is fixed by $\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ due to the existence of the spin-three zero-norm state at this mass level. Eq (17) is obtained by making use of the type I spin-two Ward identity

$$2\theta_{\mu\nu}^1\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu} + 4\theta_{\mu\nu}^1\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu} + 2(k_\lambda\theta_{\mu\nu}^1 + k_{(\lambda}\theta_{\mu\nu)}^1)\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3}k_\lambda k_\beta\theta_{\mu\nu}^1\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\lambda\beta} = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\theta_{\mu\nu}^1 = \theta_{\nu\mu}^1, k^\mu\theta_{\mu\nu}^1 = \eta^{\mu\nu}\theta_{\mu\nu}^1 = 0$$

and the type II spin-two Ward identity

$$3\theta_{\mu\nu}^2\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu} + 8\theta_{\mu\nu}^2\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu} + (k_\lambda\theta_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{15}{2}k_{(\lambda}\theta_{\mu\nu)}^2)\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\lambda\mu\nu} + (\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\lambda\beta}\theta_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{3}{2}k_\lambda k_\beta\theta_{\mu\nu}^2)\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\lambda\beta} = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\theta_{\mu\nu}^2 = \theta_{\nu\mu}^2, k^\mu\theta_{\mu\nu}^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu}\theta_{\mu\nu}^2 = 0.$$

$\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu}$ in eqs. (18) and (19) correspond to the amplitudes of $\alpha_{-2}^\mu\alpha_{-2}^\nu$ and $\alpha_{-1}^\mu\alpha_{-3}^\nu$ respectively. Eqs. (18) and (19) are generated by two degenerate spin-two zero-norm states at the third massive level. Similarly, the scattering amplitude of the scalar state[14]

$$(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{13}{3}k_\mu k_\nu)\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu} + (\frac{20}{9}k_\mu k_\nu k_\rho + \frac{2}{3}k_\mu\eta_{\nu\rho} + \frac{13}{3}k_\rho\eta_{\nu\rho})\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\rho} + (\frac{23}{81}k_\mu k_\nu k_\rho k_\sigma + \frac{32}{27}k_\mu k_\nu\eta_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{19}{18}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma})\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \quad (20)$$

is also fixed by mixed-symmetric $\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ [7]. This stringy decoupling phenomenon is, in contrast to the result of Gross, valid to *all* energy, and was first discovered [10] by using

the massive worldsheet sigma-model approach. It has also been justified recently by Witten string field theory[11] and the S-matrix calculation[7]. We can now take the high-energy limit of eqs. (18) and (19) by making use of eqs. (12)-(14) to get

$$\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{LL} = \frac{1}{9}\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{TTTT}, \mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{TTL} = -\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{TTTT}. \quad (21)$$

Note that the gauge conditions $k^\mu\theta_{\mu\nu} = 0$ of eqs. (18) and (19) drop out in the high-energy limit since $k^\mu\theta_{\mu\lambda} = k^\mu\theta_{LL} \sim m \approx 0$. The high-energy limit of eqs. (17) and (20) then give the scattering amplitudes of the spin-two and the scalar states to be $-\frac{1}{261}\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{TTTT}$ and $\frac{5}{54}\mathcal{T}_{3,\chi}^{TTTT}$ respectively.

We conclude that the physical origin of the proportionality constants in eqs (15) and (21) is from the zero-norm states in the OCFQ spectrum. However, the physical effect of them is still not clear at this point. Perhaps the stringy symmetries proposed in this letter are being realized in the wrong vacuum as was strongly suggested by the non-Borel summability of string perturbation theory[15]. In this case, it is then difficult to give a physical interpretation of these proportionality constants although knowing their origin from zero-norm states is still crucial to uncover the fundamental structure of string theory. The most challenging problem remained is the calculation of algebraic structure of these stringy symmetries derived from solutions of eqs. (2) and (3). Presumably, it is a complicated 26D generalization of ω_∞ of the simpler toy 2D string model.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the hospitalities of physics departments of National Taiwan university and Simon-Fraser university, where most of this work was done. This work is supported by grants of National Science Council of Taiwan.

-
- [1] D.J. Gross, J.A. Harvey, E. Martinec and R. Rohm, Nucl. Phys. B256,253(1985); Nucl. Phys. B267,75(1986).
 - [2] J.C. Lee, Phys. Lett. B337,69(1994).
 - [3] D.J. Gross, “ High energy symmetry of string theory”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60,1229 (1988); Phil.Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A329,401(1989)

- [4] D.J. Gross and P. Mende, Phys. Lett. B197,129 (1987); Nucl.Phys.B303, 407(1988).
- [5] D.J. Gross and J.L. Manes, “ The high energy behavior of open string theory”, Nucl.Phys.B326, 73(1989).
- [6] M. B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, “ Superstring Theory” Vol. I Cambridge university press.
- [7] J.C. Lee,”Zero-norm states and reduction of stringy scattering amplitudes” hep-th/0302123.
- [8] For a review see I.R. Klebanov and A. Pasquinucci, hep-th/9210105 and references therein.
- [9] T.D. Chung and J.C. Lee, Phys. Lett. B350, 22(1995); Z. Phys. C75, 555(1997).
- [10] J.C. Lee, “ Decoupling of degenerate positive-norm states in string theory”. Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1636(1990).
- [11] H.C. Kao and J.C. Lee, “ Decoupling of degenerate positive-norm states in Witten’s string field theory”, hep-th/0212196, Phys. Rev. D (Accepted).
- [12] J.C. Lee, Phys. Lett. B241, 336(1990); J.C. Lee and B. Ovrut, Nucl. Phys. B336, 222(1990).
- [13] J.C. Lee, Prog. of Theor. Phys. Vol 91, 353(1994).
- [14] R. Sasaki and I. Yamanaka, Phys. Lett. B165, 283(1985).
- [15] D.J. Gross and V. Periwal, Phys. Rev. Lett.60, 2105(1988).